

Dockless Vehicle Committee

Minutes from __11/28/2018_____

Members present:

Meg Young, DOT
Theo Ngongang, DOT
Charles Penny, DOT
Victor Tervalá, Law
Josh Wilson, MOSS
Terrence Jennings, Mayor's Office
Jon Laria, Mayor's Bicycle Advisory Committee
Ben Hyman, Waterfront Partnership
Daniel Knopp, UMB National Study Center for Trauma and EMS
Ekua Malonde, MOSS
Sloane Wheelan, KO Public Affairs
Davon Barbour, Downtown Partnership
Steven Olson, Baltimore Police Department
Johnathon Ehsani, Johns Hopkins School of Public Health

Committee members adopted the minutes from the last meeting on 11/14/18.

Committee members were asked to think of representative community groups which should be invited to future meeting, especially for the equity conversations.

Members were updated on the progress of the Dockless Vehicle Pilot program since the last meeting, including data submitted by companies and management of that data.

Members were updated on the progress made by the Law Department regarding a permitting ordinance.

Members were briefed on the incident tracking being undertaken by the JHU, UMB National Study Center for Trauma and EMS staff, in partnership with DOT.

Members reviewed a list of potential questions for the Dockless Vehicle community survey.

Members reviewed best practices on Safety Zone and Enforcement of Dockless Vehicles.

The next meeting date of 12/12/18 at 10:00am was confirmed.

**COMPLIANCE CHECKLIST
FOR MEETINGS SUBJECT TO THE MARYLAND OPEN MEETINGS ACT ***

Name of public body Dockless Vehicle Committee Date of Meeting: 11/25/18

1. Did you give "reasonable advance notice" and keep a copy or screenshot?
2. Did you make an agenda available when notice was posted, or, if not yet determined, as soon as practicable, but at least 24 hours before the meeting?
3. Did you make arrangements for the public to attend?
4. Is someone prepared to keep minutes in writing or, otherwise, to run the equipment for minutes in the form of live and archived video or audio streaming?
5. If part of this meeting might be closed to the public, have you first: Nothing closed
 - Made sure that the public body has designated a member to take training in the Act?
 - Made sure that the topic to be discussed falls entirely within one or more of the "exceptions" that allow the closed session? (see the other side for the list)
 - Given notice of the open meeting to be held right before the closed session, so that the presiding officer can hold the required public vote to close?
 - Made sure that the initial open meeting will be attended by a member designated to take training in the Act, and, if a designated member cannot attend, made sure that the public body is ready to complete this compliance checklist at the open meeting and keep it to attach to the minutes?
 - Equipped the presiding officer to prepare a written statement with the required disclosures? (for a model form with instructions, go to <http://www.marylandattorneygeneral.gov/Pages/OpenGov/Openmeetings/default.aspx>)
 - Equipped the presiding officer to limit the closed session discussion to the exceptions and topics cited on the written closing statement?
 - Arranged for closed-session minutes to be kept and adopted as sealed?
 - Equipped someone in the closed session to keep a record of each item of information that must be disclosed in the minutes of the next open meeting? (for the list, see the model closing statement).
 - For a meeting recessed to hold a closed administrative session, arranged to disclose, in the minutes of the next open meeting, the date, time, and place, persons present, and subjects discussed?
6. Have you arranged for the preparation, the adoption as soon as practicable, and posting online if practicable, of minutes of the open meeting, including summaries of any prior closed sessions, and this form (when required), completed on this side?

**This checklist is designed for general use as well as for use at open meetings of public bodies that hold closed meetings, when a member designated for training cannot attend the initial open meeting. See GP § 3-213(d). Like the earlier checklists, this checklist gives general guidance, does not guarantee "compliance" with every provision of the Open Meetings Act, and will be revised occasionally.*

(Revised July 2018)

**STATUTORY AUTHORITY TO CLOSE SESSION
(THE FIFTEEN "EXCEPTIONS")
General Provisions Article § 3-305(b)**

- (1) To discuss the appointment, employment, assignment, promotion, discipline, demotion, compensation, removal, resignation, or performance evaluation of an appointee, employee, or official over whom this public body has jurisdiction; or any other personnel matter that affects one or more specific individuals;
- (2) To protect the privacy or reputation of an individual with respect to a matter not related to public business;
- (3) To consider the acquisition of real property for a public purpose and matters directly related to the acquisition;
- (4) To consider a matter that concerns the proposal for a business or industrial organization to locate, expand, or remain in the State;
- (5) To consider the investment of public funds;
- (6) To consider the marketing of public securities;
- (7) To consult with counsel to obtain legal advice;
- (8) To consult with staff, consultants, or other individuals about pending or potential litigation;
- (9) To conduct collective bargaining negotiations or consider matters that relate to the negotiations;
- (10) To discuss public security, if the public body determines that public discussion would constitute a risk to the public or to public security, including: (i) the deployment of fire and police services and staff; and (ii) the development and implementation of emergency plans;
- (11) To prepare, administer, or grade a scholastic, licensing, or qualifying examination;
- (12) To conduct or discuss an investigative proceeding on actual or possible criminal conduct;
- (13) To comply with a specific constitutional, statutory, or judicially imposed requirement that prevents public disclosures about a particular proceeding or matter;
- (14) To discuss, before a contract is awarded or bids are opened, a matter directly related to a negotiating strategy or the contents of a bid or proposal, if public discussion or disclosure would adversely impact the ability of the public body to participate in the competitive bidding or proposal process.
- (15) (Eff. 10/1/18) To discuss cybersecurity, if the public body determines that public discussion would constitute a risk to:
 - (i) security assessments or deployments relating to information resources technology;
 - (ii) network security information, including information that is: 1. Related to passwords, personal identification numbers, access codes, encryption, or other components of the security system of a governmental entity; 2. Collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental entity to prevent, detect, or investigate criminal activity; or 3. Related to an assessment, made by or for a governmental entity or maintained by a governmental entity, of the vulnerability of a network to criminal activity; or
 - (iii) deployments or implementation of security personnel, critical infrastructure, or security devices.